

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,811	12/12/2003	Han-sung Kim	Q78843	8849
23373	7590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER	
	MION, PLLC	BERHANU, SAMUEL		
2100 PENNS SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENUE, N	1.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037		2838	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			13-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/733,811	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samuel Berhanu	2838	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	pe timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communica ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2 December 2 De	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	·	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square obdicating accepted or b) \square obdicating acceptage of the drawing (s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/18/2004. 3/7/3005

6) Other: __

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3,5 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Griffin et al. (5,734,252).

Regarding claim 1, Griffin et al. disclose in Figures 1 and 3 a battery controller, comprising: a push switch (130,440) operable to be switched on and off; a power switch (220,560) connected to the push switch) and operable to allow a leakage current to flow through the power switch based on input from the push switch (160,170,240,250); a battery pack (100) including a battery (110) and a protection circuit module (PCM) (120) for turning off the battery when the leakage current flows through the power switch; and a charging circuit for charging the battery pack (120).

Regarding claim 2, wherein the PCM is operable to turn the battery on when the PCM detects that charging currents are being applied to the battery pack (120, Column 1, lines 65-67 and Column 2 lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 3, wherein the battery pack (100) is operable to receive the charging currents from a DC adapter (230) connected to the battery pack

Application/Control Number: 10/733,811

Art Unit: 2838

when the battery is in an off state (230, Column 2, lines 53-54).

Regarding claim 5, wherein the battery controller (120) is disposed in a personal telecommunication device, and the push switch is operated via an opening (160,240) in an outer surface of the personal telecommunication device (Column 3, lines 29-35).

Regarding claim 7, a battery controller, comprising: a switch; means (120) connected to the switch (130) operable to allow a leakage current to flow to a battery pack based on input from the switch (220); the battery pack (100) including a battery (110), means for detecting the leakage current (120), and means for turning off the battery when the leakage current is detected; and means for charging the battery pack (element 120, Column 1, lines 65-67 and Column 2 lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 8, wherein the battery controller (120) is disposed in a personal telecommunication device, and the push switch is operated via an opening (160,240) in an outer surface of the personal telecommunication device (Column 3, lines 29-35).

Regarding claim 9, a battery controller (120), further including means for detecting charging currents (150) applied to the battery pack from the means for charging the battery pack (200); and means for turning on the battery on when the means for detecting charging currents detects that charging currents are being applied to the battery pack. (Column 2, lines 40-44)

Regarding claim 10, wherein the means for charging the battery pack are connected with a DC adapter (230, Column 2, lines 53-54).

Art Unit: 2838

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffin et al. (5,734,252) in view of Campo (6,073,033).

Regarding claim 4, Griffin et al. disclose the claimed invention, except a battery pack includes a rechargeable battery comprising at least one of Lithium-ion, lithium-ion polymer, and NiMH batteries. However, Campo discloses in Figure 2, a battery pack (45) includes a rechargeable battery comprising at least one of Lithium-ion, lithium-ion polymer, and NiMH batteries (column 8, lines 4-9). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a suitable type of battery such as NICad, NiMH, lithim ion as disclosed by Campo in Griffin et al. phone in order to have a high charge storage capacity for extended period of time.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which

WW

Application/Control Number: 10/733,811 Page 4

Art Unit: 2838

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffin et al. (5,734,252) in view of Campo (6,073,033).

Regarding claim 4, Griffin et al. disclose the claimed invention, except a battery pack includes a rechargeable battery comprising at least one of Lithium-ion, lithium-ion polymer, and NiMH batteries. However, Campo discloses in Figure 2, a battery pack (45) includes a rechargeable battery comprising at least one of Lithium-ion, lithium-ion polymer, and NiMH batteries (column 8, lines 4-9). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a suitable type of battery such as NICad, NiMH, lithim ion as disclosed by Campo in the Griffin et al. phone in order to have a high charge storage capacity for an extended period of time.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which

Art Unit: 2838

said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffin et al. (5,734,252) in view of Compton et al. (4,042,836).

Regarding claim 6, Griffin et al disclose in Figure 1, the battery controller (120), wherein the battery (110) is turned off when the PCM (120) of the battery pack (100) detects the leakage current and accordingly an FET within the battery pack is turned off. Griffin et al do not disclose the switch is an FET. However, Compton et al. disclose in Figure 3 an FET switch. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement FET switches as taught by Compton et al. in the Griffin et al. charging circuit in order to provide an efficient and rapid transition from charging state to non-charging state.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Berhanu whose telephone number is 571-272-8430. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,811

Art Unit: 2838

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL SHERRY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Mp 5/16/05